

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/869,565	10/17/2001	Thomas J. Gardella	0609.4730000	4604	
28393 7	7590 06/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			HOWARD, Z	HOWARD, ZACHARY C	
	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646		

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/869,565	GARDELLA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary C. Howard	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 25,26,29,32-38 and 41.		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24,27,28,30,31,39,40,42 and 43</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet.

zch

Continuation of 5. Applicants' reply has overcome the following rejection(s): All rejections of claims 20-23 are moot in view of Applicants' cancellation of these claims. Furthermore, Applicants' reply has overcome the rejection of claims 24 and 27 under 112, 1st paragraph for failing to comply with the enablement requirement and the written description requirement; and has overcome the rejection of claims 24, 27 and 28 under 112, 2nd paragraph as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner was previously unable to determine the limitations placed on the polypeptide that is expressed by the cell used in the method of claims 24, 27 and 28; therefore the claims were rejected under 112, 2nd paragraph as indefinite. Applicants' amendments to claims 24, 27 and 28 clarify the limitations placed on said polypeptide and have therefore overcome said rejection. However, as amended the claimed polypeptide is not limited to one that "comprises a deletion of the extracellular amino-terminal ligand binding domain of a PTH-1 receptor" (as in claims 25 and 26). Instead, claims 24, 27 and 28 are directed to a polypeptide encoded by a polynucleotide "having" a nucleotide sequence that has a deletion of the extracellular domain. The term "having" is a transitional phrase that in view of the instant specification is intepreted as "comprising". The full-length sequence with a deletion of the extracellular domain is equivalent to the sequence of the remainder of the protein (i.e., amino acid residues 23-435 of SEQ ID NO: 2 which are encoded by nucleic acid residues 67-1320 of SEQ ID NO: 1). Therefore, the genus of claimed polypeptides encompasses the full-length PTH-1 receptor, because said full-length sequence comprises the remainder of the protein (amino acid residues 23-435). Therefore, claims 24, 27 and 28 encompass method using cells expressing a full-length PTH-1 receptor. As set forth previously, U.S. Patent 5,494,806 teaches methods of screening using the cells expressing the full-length PTH-1 receptor. Therefore, claim 28 remains rejected under 102(b) for the reasons set forth previously, and claims 24, 27, and new dependent claims 30, 31, 39, 40, 42 and 43 are added to this rejection. New dependent claims 29, 38 and 41 are not included in this rejection because they are limited to particular embodiments wherein the cell comprises a polynucleotide having a nucleotide sequence of 1-1320 of SEQ ID NO: 1 or 1-435 of SEQ ID NO: 2; these particular sequences include a fusion of the signal sequence peptide directly to the remainder of the protein (missing the ECD domain) and therefore are not anticipated by the '806 patent.